

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/910,775	LEE, DAE-HUN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jamal A Fox	2664	

**All Participants:**

(1) Jamal A Fox.

(2) Deborah Kimberlin.

**Status of Application: 30**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 18 April 2005

**Time:** 10:25

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: I, Jamal A. Fox, tried to contact Daniel Kim to get authorization to amend the Abstract. Deborah Kimberlin, Daniels' Secretary, informed me that Daniel Kim was out of town. She also indicated that there wasn't anybody else there to provide me with the authorization. .